

NONVANISHING OF THE DIFFERENTIAL OF HOLOMORPHIC MAPPINGS AT BOUNDARY POINTS

M. S. BAOUENDI, XIAOJUN HUANG, AND LINDA PREISS ROTHSCHILD

§0 Introduction

Let M and M' be two smooth hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}^n$. A smooth mapping $h : M \rightarrow M'$ is a *CR mapping* if its components are annihilated by the induced Cauchy-Riemann operator on M . Let $p_0 \in M$ and suppose that near p_0 , h is the restriction of a holomorphic mapping H defined on one side of M near p_0 and smooth up to M . We shall say that h satisfies the *Hopf lemma property* at p_0 if the component of H normal to M_2 has a nonzero derivative at p_0 in the normal direction to M_1 . The hypersurface M is said to be *minimal* at $p_0 \in M$ if there is no germ of a complex hypersurface contained in M through p_0 . Recall the theorem of Trépreau [T] that if M is minimal at p_0 , then every CR function defined on M near p_0 extends holomorphically to at least one side of M in $\mathbb{C}^n$ near p_0 . A stronger condition on a hypersurface M at a point p_0 is that of *essential finiteness* (as defined in [BJT], [BR3], [DA2]). We will recall this definition in §1. We note here that if M is of D'Angelo finite type at p_0 [DA1], then M is essentially finite at p_0 (and hence minimal at p_0).

In this paper we prove a general result of the “Hopf lemma” type for CR mappings, with nonidentically vanishing Jacobians, between real hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Applications of this result to finiteness and holomorphic extendibility of such mappings are also given. The novelty here is that we make no assumption on the non-flatness of the mapping or its Jacobian, nor do we assume that the hypersurfaces are pseudoconvex or minimally convex.

Theorem 1. *Let M be a smooth, connected, orientable hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}^n$ which is essentially finite at all points. Let $h : M \rightarrow M'$ be a smooth CR mapping from M to another smooth hypersurface $M' \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, with $\text{Jac } h \not\equiv 0$. Let $p_0 \in M$, and suppose that $h^{-1}(h(p_0))$ is a compact subset of M . Then h satisfies the Hopf lemma property at p_0 .*

If the hypersurfaces are pseudoconvex, the result above follows from the classical Hopf lemma for harmonic functions, as proved in Fornaess [F] (see also [BBR]). Other results of the Hopf lemma type for CR mappings were previously obtained in [BR3] and [BR5].

Received April 17, 1995.

The first and third authors were partially supported by National Science Foundation Grant DMS 9203973.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ -TEX

As shown in [BBR], [BR1], and [DF], the Hopf lemma property can be used to prove holomorphic extension of CR mappings between real analytic hypersurfaces. From Theorem 1 we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *Let M , M' , h be as in Theorem 1, and assume in addition that M and M' are real analytic. Then h extends holomorphically to a neighborhood of p_0 in $\mathbb{C}^n$.*

In the global case, i.e. when M and M' are compact boundaries, the compactness of $h^{-1}(h(p_0))$ is automatically satisfied, yielding the following result.

Theorem 2. *Let Ω and Ω' be bounded domains in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with smooth boundaries, such that $\partial\Omega$ is essentially finite at all points. Suppose $H : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega'$ is a proper holomorphic mapping, smooth up to $\partial\Omega$. Then H satisfies the Hopf lemma property at every point $p \in \partial\Omega$. Furthermore, H is finite-to-one on $\overline{\Omega}$.*

When Ω and Ω' in Theorem 2 are real analytic, we obtain a new proof of the following result of the second author and Pan [HP], extending earlier results in [BR1], [DF], [BR3].

Corollary 2. *If Ω and Ω' are bounded domains in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with real analytic boundaries, and $H : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega'$ is a proper holomorphic mapping, smooth up to $\partial\Omega$, then H extends holomorphically to a neighborhood of $\overline{\Omega}$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$.*

It should be noted that Theorem 2 and Corollary 2 may be proved more directly (see Remark 2.2).

Another application of Theorem 1 is a propagation result of the Hopf lemma property (Theorem 3), as well as real analyticity (Corollary 3), analogous to the classical Hartog's theorem for extension of holomorphic functions.

Theorem 3. *Let M be a smooth, orientable, connected hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}^n$ which is essentially finite at all points, and let $h : M \rightarrow M'$ be a smooth CR mapping from M to another smooth hypersurface $M' \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, with $\text{Jac } h \neq 0$. Suppose that U_1 and U are relatively compact open subsets of M , with $\overline{U_1} \subset U$. Then if the Hopf lemma property holds at every point in $U \setminus U_1$, it also holds everywhere in U .*

Corollary 3. *Let M be a real analytic, orientable, connected hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}^n$ which is essentially finite at all points, and let $h : M \rightarrow M'$ be a smooth CR mapping from M to another real analytic hypersurface $M' \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, with $\text{Jac } h \neq 0$. Suppose that U_1 and U are relatively compact open subsets of M , with $\overline{U_1} \subset U$. If h is real analytic in $U \setminus U_1$, then h is real analytic everywhere in U and hence extends holomorphically to an open neighborhood of U in $\mathbb{C}^n$.*

Remark 0.1. In Theorem 1 and Corollary 1, the condition $\text{Jac } h \neq 0$ may be replaced by the stronger condition that M' does not contain any nontrivial complex variety through p_0 . (See e.g. [BR4].) A similar statement holds for Theorem 3 and Corollary 3.

Some of the results of the present paper, including Corollary 1, were announced earlier by the second author. Also, a recent preprint of Y. Pan [P] contains a special case of Corollary 1 above and other related results.

§1 Preliminaries

Let M be a smooth real hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}^n$. For $p \in M$, we denote by $T_p M$ the real tangent space of M at p and by $\mathbb{C}T_p M$ its complexification. We denote

by $\mathcal{V}_p M$ the complex subspace of $\mathbb{C}T_p M$ consisting of all antiholomorphic vectors tangent to M at p , and by $T_p^c M = \text{Re } \mathcal{V}_p M$ the complex tangent space of M at p considered as a real subspace of $T_p M$. Note that if h is a smooth CR map from M to a hypersurface M' , then h satisfies the Hopf lemma property mentioned in §0 if and only if

$$dh(T_{p_0} M) \not\subset T_{h(p_0)}^c M'.$$

Note that for this form of the definition, it is not necessary to assume that h extends holomorphically to one side of M .

If $\rho(z, \bar{z})$ is a defining function for M near $p_0 = 0$, with $\rho(0) = 0$ and $d\rho(0) \neq 0$, we consider the formal Taylor series of ρ in z and $\bar{z}$ at 0 and write $R(z, \zeta)$ for its complexification, i.e. $R(z, \zeta) \equiv \sum a_{\alpha, \beta} z^\alpha \zeta^\beta$, where $\alpha! \beta! a_{\alpha, \beta} = \rho_{z^\alpha \bar{z}^\beta}(0)$. Let $X_1, \dots, X_n$, be the vector fields with formal power series coefficients given by

$$X_j = R_{\zeta_n}(0, \zeta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_j} - R_{\zeta_j}(0, \zeta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_n}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

where we have assumed $\rho_{z_n}(0) \neq 0$. For a multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$ we define $c_\alpha(z)$ in the ring of convergent power series in n complex variables, by

$$(1.1) \quad c_\alpha(z) = X^\alpha R(z, \zeta)|_{\zeta=0},$$

where $X^\alpha = X_1^{\alpha_1} \dots X_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}}$. We say that M is *essentially finite* at 0 if the ideal $(c_\alpha(z))$ generated by the $c_\alpha(z)$ in the ring $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$ of formal power series is of finite codimension. It should be noted that this definition is independent of the choice of coordinates and defining function ρ ; it is given in a slightly different form in [BR3]. Note also that if M is essentially finite at p_0 , then M is minimal at p_0 , and that if M is of D'Angelo finite type, then it is essentially finite.

Recall that an *analytic disc* in $\mathbb{C}^n$ is a continuous mapping $A : \bar{\Delta} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ which is holomorphic in Δ , where Δ is the open unit disc in the plane. We say that A is *attached* to M if $A(\partial\Delta) \subset M$. Let M be a smooth hypersurface minimal at p_0 . As in [BR5], we say that M is *minimally convex* at p_0 if M is minimal at p_0 , and there is a neighborhood U of p_0 in M and a side of the hyperplane $T_{p_0} M$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that the real derivatives $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}}[A(\xi)]|_{\xi=1}$ lie on that side or in $T_{p_0} M$, for all sufficiently smooth analytic discs A attached to U with $A(1) = p_0$. Here $\zeta = \xi + i\eta$, with $\zeta \in \Delta$.

For the convenience of the reader we begin by stating a number of known results, Theorems A, B, C and D below, which will be important for the proofs of Theorem 1. Theorem A is a consequence of a result of Tumanov [Tu], as observed in [BR5].

Theorem A [Tu, BR5]. *Let M be a smooth, real hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}^n$, and assume that M is minimal at p_0 . Then one of the following two conditions holds.*

- (1) *M is minimally convex at p_0 .*
- (2) *Every CR function defined in a neighborhood of p_0 in M extends holomorphically to a full neighborhood of p_0 in $\mathbb{C}^n$.*

Theorem B [BR5]. *If h is a smooth CR mapping from a smooth hypersurface M to another smooth hypersurface M' , with M minimal at p_0 , $\text{Jac } h \neq 0$, and M' minimally convex at $p'_0 = h(p_0)$, then the Hopf lemma property holds at p_0 .*

We need also to recall a result which follows from Theorem 4 in [BR3].

Theorem C [BR3]. *Let H be a holomorphic map defined in a neighborhood of a smooth hypersurface M essentially finite at p_0 , $H(M) \subset M'$, with M' another smooth hypersurface of $\mathbb{C}^n$, and $\text{Jac } H \neq 0$. Then H satisfies the Hopf lemma property at p_0 and H is finite-to-one in a neighborhood of p_0 .*

We shall also need a stronger version of this result, and we indicate here its proof.

Theorem D. *Let H be a holomorphic map defined on one side of a smooth hypersurface M essentially finite at p_0 , with H smooth up to M . Suppose $H(M) \subset M'$, with M' another smooth hypersurface of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Then $\text{Jac } H$ is not flat at p_0 if and only if H satisfies the Hopf lemma property at p_0 . In addition, if either of these equivalent conditions is satisfied, then any smooth extension of H to a sufficiently small neighborhood of p_0 in $\mathbb{C}^n$ is finite-to-one.*

Proof of Theorem D. If $\text{Jac } H$ is not flat at p_0 , we conclude that if G is a formal transversal component of H (as defined in [BR3]), then $G \neq 0$. Hence, by Theorem 4 of [BR3], it follows that H satisfies the Hopf lemma property and is of finite multiplicity. Conversely, if H satisfies the Hopf Lemma property at p_0 , by Theorem 4 of [BR3] it follows again that H is of finite multiplicity and also that M' is essentially finite at $H(p_0)$. By Theorem 3 of [BR3], we conclude also that H is not totally degenerate at p_0 , in the sense of [BR3], and hence, using again the Hopf lemma property, $\text{Jac } H$ is not flat at p_0 .

We may assume $p_0 = H(p_0) = 0$. Since H is holomorphic on one side of M and smooth up to the boundary, its Taylor series at 0 defines a formal (not necessarily convergent) holomorphic map $H = (\sum a_\alpha^1 z^\alpha, \dots, \sum a_\alpha^n z^\alpha)$. The equivalent conditions above imply that H is finite as a formal map. That is, the ideal generated by the $\sum a_\alpha^j z^\alpha$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, is of finite codimension in the ring $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$ of formal power series in z . Since the Taylor series of H coincides with that of any smooth extension of H to $\mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, we conclude e.g. by [GG], [EL], that this extension is finite-to-one near 0. $\square$

§2 INVERSE IMAGE OF A NONMINIMALLY CONVEX POINT.

In this section we shall state and prove a new result, Theorem 4 below, from which Theorem 1 will follow.

Theorem 4. *Let $h : M \rightarrow M'$ be a CR map, where $M, M', h, p_0 \in M$ satisfy all the conditions of Theorem 1. In addition, suppose that M' is not minimally convex at $p'_0 = h(p_0)$. Then all CR functions on M extend holomorphically to a full neighborhood of p_0 in $\mathbb{C}^n$. In particular, h extends holomorphically to a neighborhood of p_0 and satisfies the Hopf lemma property at p_0 .*

Before proving Theorem 4, we note that Theorem 1 is a consequence of Theorem 4 and Theorem B above. Indeed, if M' is minimally convex at $h(p_0)$, then since any essentially finite hypersurface is minimal at all points, Theorem 1 follows from Theorem B. On the other hand, if $h(p_0)$ is not minimally convex, Theorem 1 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.

In the rest of this section, we shall prove Theorem 4. We may assume that $p_0 = p'_0 = 0$, and we let $Z_M = h^{-1}(0)$. Note that Z_M is a compact subset of M by the assumptions of the theorem. Hence without loss of generality, we shall assume that M is bounded.

The following lemma shows that we can reduce the proof of the theorem to the case where h extends holomorphically to one side of M .

Lemma 2.1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, there exists an open neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of 0 in $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that $Z_M \cap \mathcal{U}$ is compact in $M \cap \mathcal{U}$ and h extends holomorphically to $\mathcal{U}^+$, one side of M in $\mathcal{U}$.*

Proof. Since M is essentially finite and hence minimal at all points, it follows that h extends holomorphically to at least one side of M at each point. Since M is orientable, it is given by a global smooth defining function ρ with nonvanishing gradient on M . We may assume that h extends to the plus side of M , (i.e. where $\rho(z) > 0$) near 0. Let M_1 be the largest connected open subset of M containing 0 such that h extends holomorphically to the plus side of M near every point of M_1 .

If $M_1 = M$, then the Lemma is an immediate consequence of the assumptions of Theorem 1. Assume therefore that M_1 is a proper subset of M and let ∂M_1 be its boundary in M . For $\delta > 0$, let $M_1^\delta = \{p \in M_1 : \text{dist}(p, \partial M_1) > \delta\}$. Since at every point of M , h extends holomorphically to at least one side of M , it follows from the definition of M_1 that there is an open neighborhood U of ∂M_1 in M such that h extends holomorphically to both sides of M at every point in $U \cap M_1$. Applying Theorem C, we conclude that $Z_M \cap U \cap M_1$ is a discrete set.

Let ∂M be the boundary of M in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and choose $a > 0$ such that $a < \text{dist}(Z_M, \partial M)$ (which is possible by the assumption of the theorem). Denote by $M^a = \{p \in M : \text{dist}(p, \partial M) > a\}$. Note that $\partial M_1 \cap \overline{M^a}$ is compact in M . Therefore, there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that for all δ , $0 < \delta < \delta_0$, we have

$$\partial M_1^\delta \cap Z_M = \overline{M^a} \cap \partial M_1^\delta \cap Z_M \subset U \cap M_1.$$

By compactness and the discreteness mentioned above, we conclude that $\partial M_1^\delta \cap Z_M$ is a finite set. Since these sets are all disjoint for different δ 's, we conclude that there exists δ_1 , with $0 < \delta_1 < \delta_0$, such that $\partial M_1^{\delta_1} \cap Z_M = \emptyset$. Now the lemma follows by taking $\mathcal{U}$ to be a sufficiently small open neighborhood of $M_1^{\delta_1}$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$. $\square$

By Lemma 2.1, after shrinking M if necessary, we may now assume that there is a connected open set $\mathcal{O}$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that:

- (i) $\mathcal{O} \cup M$ is a manifold with boundary of class C^∞ .
- (ii) h extends holomorphically to $\mathcal{O}$; if H denotes the holomorphic extension of h , then $H \in C^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$.
- (iii) $h(0) = 0$.
- (iv) $Z_M = h^{-1}(0)$ is a compact subset of M .

We write

$$Z = H^{-1}(0) \cap \mathcal{O}.$$

We shall show that we can take H to be a proper mapping of an open domain to its image. The following lemma is crucial in this construction.

Lemma 2.2. *Let V be a connected open neighborhood of Z_M in M , with $\overline{V}$ a compact subset of M . For $\delta > 0$, let*

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{O}^\delta = \{z \in \mathcal{O} : \text{dist}(z, \overline{V}) < \delta\},$$

and $\partial\mathcal{O}^\delta = S_1^\delta \cup S_2^\delta$, with $S_1^\delta = \partial\mathcal{O}^\delta \cap M$ and $S_2^\delta = \partial\mathcal{O}^\delta \setminus S_1^\delta$. Then for any $\delta_0 > 0$ there exists δ , $0 < \delta < \delta_0$, such that $S_2^\delta \cap Z = \emptyset$.

Proof. Note that by assumption, $\overline{S_2^\delta} \cap Z_M = \emptyset$. Hence there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for any δ sufficiently small,

$$(2.4) \quad Z \cap \{z \in S_2^\delta : \text{dist}(z, \overline{S_2^\delta} \cap M) < \epsilon\} = \emptyset.$$

Let $Z' = \{z \in Z : z \text{ is not an isolated point of } Z\}$. If there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $Z' \cap \mathcal{O}^\delta = \emptyset$, then $Z \cap \mathcal{O}^\delta$ is countable, and the conclusion of the lemma follows since the sets S_2^δ , as δ varies, are disjoint.

To complete the proof of the lemma, we shall assume

$$(2.5) \quad Z' \cap \mathcal{O}^\delta \neq \emptyset,$$

for all δ sufficiently small, and reach a contradiction. It is clear that under condition (2.5) we have

$$(2.6) \quad \overline{Z'} \cap M \neq \emptyset.$$

We claim that $\text{Jac } H$ vanishes to infinite order at every point $p \in \overline{Z'} \cap M$. Indeed if $\text{Jac } H$ does not vanish to infinite order at a point $p \in Z_M$, then by Theorem D, p is an isolated point of $H^{-1}(0)$ in $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$. Since this cannot be the case for $p \in \overline{Z'} \cap M \subset Z_M$, the claim follows.

Now let $T_2^\delta = \{z \in S_2^\delta : \text{dist}(z, \overline{S_2^\delta} \cap M) \geq \epsilon\}$, where ϵ satisfies (2.4). Using (2.4), we note that

$$\overline{Z'} \cap \partial\mathcal{O}^\delta \subset T_2^\delta \cup (Z_M \cap \overline{Z'}).$$

Since T_2^δ is compactly contained in $\mathcal{O}$ for sufficiently small δ , and $\text{Jac } H$ is holomorphic in $\mathcal{O}$, there exists $C > 0$ such that for all multi-indices α

$$(2.7) \quad \sup_{z \in T_2^\delta} |D^\alpha \text{Jac } H(z)| \leq C^{|\alpha|+1} \alpha!.$$

By the maximum principle on complex varieties (see e.g. [N1]) we have,

$$(2.8) \quad \sup_{z \in \overline{Z'} \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}^\delta}} |D^\alpha \text{Jac } H(z)| = \sup_{z \in \overline{Z'} \cap \partial\mathcal{O}^\delta} |D^\alpha \text{Jac } H(z)|.$$

However, as proved in the claim above, $\text{Jac } H$ vanishes to infinite order on $\overline{Z'} \cap M$. Hence, in view of (2.7) and (2.8)

$$(2.9) \quad \sup_{z \in \overline{Z'} \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}^\delta}} |D^\alpha \text{Jac } H(z)| = \sup_{z \in T_2^\delta} |D^\alpha \text{Jac } H(z)| \leq C^{|\alpha|+1} \alpha!.$$

This proves that the radius of convergence of $\text{Jac } H(z)$, $z \in Z'$, is greater than a positive constant which is independent of the distance to M . Hence $\text{Jac } H$ extends holomorphically to a full neighborhood in $\mathbb{C}^n$ of each point of $\overline{Z'} \cap M$. Since $\text{Jac } H$ vanishes to infinite order there, it follows that $\text{Jac } H \equiv 0$, contrary to assumption. We conclude that (2.6), and hence (2.5), cannot hold, which completes the proof of Lemma 2.2. $\square$

In reducing the proof of Theorem 4 to the global case of a proper mapping we shall use the following.

Proposition 2.10. *Let M be a connected hypersurface of class C^0 with $M \subset \partial\mathcal{O}$, where $\mathcal{O}$ is an open bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$, and let H be a holomorphic mapping in $\mathcal{O}$, continuous up to the boundary, $\text{Jac } H \not\equiv 0$, with $H(M)$ contained in another hypersurface M' of class C^0 . Suppose $0 \in M$, $H(0) = 0$, and*

$$(2.11) \quad H^{-1}(0) \cap \partial\mathcal{O} \subset M.$$

Then there is a subdomain $\mathcal{O}_1 \subset \mathcal{O}$ satisfying

- (i) $0 \in \partial\mathcal{O}_1$, and there exists a sequence $\{z_j\} \subset \mathcal{O}_1$ such that $z_j \rightarrow 0$ and $H(z_j)$ stays strictly on one side of M' ;
- (ii) there exists U , a neighborhood of 0 in M' , with $\overline{H(\mathcal{O}_1)} \supset U$;
- (iii) $H : \mathcal{O}_1 \rightarrow H(\mathcal{O}_1)$ is a proper map.

Proof. We begin with the following lemma, which describes a well-known construction, see e.g. [BC].

Lemma 2.12. *Let $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be an open bounded domain, and suppose $H : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is a holomorphic mapping, continuous up to $\partial\mathcal{O}$. Let*

$$D = \{z \in \mathcal{O} : H(z) \notin H(\partial\mathcal{O})\}.$$

If $D \neq \emptyset$, then $H : D \rightarrow H(D)$ is finite-to-one and hence open. Furthermore, if D'_1 is any connected component of $H(D)$, and D_1 a connected component of $H^{-1}(D'_1)$, then $H : D_1 \rightarrow D'_1$ is a proper map.

Proof. Since this result is in the “folklore”, we shall be brief. We assume $D \neq \emptyset$. If H is not finite-to-one, there exists $w \in H(D)$ for which $H^{-1}(w)$ has an accumulation point z_0 in $\overline{D}$ (and hence $H(z_0) = w$). By the definition of D ,

$$(2.13) \quad H(D) \cap H(\partial\mathcal{O}) = \emptyset, \quad \text{and} \quad H(\partial D) \subset H(\partial\mathcal{O}).$$

Hence $z_0 \notin \partial D$. On the other hand, if $z_0 \in D$, then there is a nontrivial variety contained in $H^{-1}(w)$, which would necessarily intersect ∂D . Since this is also impossible, by the definition of D , H is finite-to-one and hence open (see e.g. [R]).

To show that $H : D_1 \rightarrow D'_1$ is proper, suppose $z_j \rightarrow z_0$, $z_j \in D_1$, $z_0 \in \partial D_1$. Then by continuity $H(z_j) \rightarrow H(z_0) = w_0 \in \overline{D'_1}$. We claim that $w_0 \in \partial D'_1$. Indeed, if w_0 is an interior point of D'_1 , let V' be a neighborhood of w_0 in D'_1 . Consider H as a map from $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ to $\mathbb{C}^n$. Then a component of $H^{-1}(V')$ is contained in D_1 , by the definition of D . Then z_0 would be an interior point of D_1 , contrary to assumption. This proves Lemma 2.12. $\square$

We may now complete the proof of Proposition 2.10. Let ρ' be a defining function for M' near 0 . Without loss of generality, we may assume that there exist $z_j \in \mathcal{O}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$, with

$$(2.14) \quad \lim_j z_j = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \rho'(H(z_j)) > 0.$$

Indeed, we first select $z_j \in \mathcal{O}$ with $\text{Jac } H(z_j) \neq 0$. Since H is open near such a z_j , by slightly moving z_j if necessary, we may assume $H(z_j) \notin M'$. Replacing ρ' by $-\rho'$ and selecting a subsequence if necessary, we reach the desired conclusion (2.14).

Let D be as in Lemma 2.12. By hypothesis (2.11) and the continuity of H it follows that $H(\partial\mathcal{O}\setminus M)$ is a compact set which does not contain 0. Hence, by taking z_j sufficiently close to 0, we may assume that the points z_j chosen in (2.14) are in D . We shall show that there exists $\epsilon > 0$, arbitrarily small, such that

$$(2.15) \quad \{w \in \mathbb{C}^n : |w| < \epsilon \text{ and } \rho'(w) > 0\} \equiv W_\epsilon \subset H(D).$$

Suppose that (2.15) is proved. Let D'_1 be the connected component of $H(D)$ containing the connected open set W_ϵ . We claim that there is a connected component D_1 of $H^{-1}(D'_1)$ such that $0 \in \partial D_1$. Indeed, by Lemma 2.12, the restriction of H to D is finite-to-one, and the restriction to any connected component of $H^{-1}(D'_1)$ is proper, and hence onto D'_1 . Therefore, $H^{-1}(D'_1)$ consists of finitely many connected components D_k . Choose one of these components, say D_1 , which contains infinitely many of the z_j . Then $0 \in \partial D_1$. Since, by Lemma 2.12, the restriction of H to D_1 is proper onto $H(D_1) = D'_1$, Proposition 2.10 will follow by taking $\mathcal{O}_1 = D_1$.

It remains to prove (2.15). Choose ϵ such that

$$(2.16) \quad 0 < \epsilon < \text{dist}(0, H(\partial\mathcal{O}\setminus M)),$$

and such that the open set W_ϵ defined in (2.15) is connected. Let j_0 be such that $H(z_{j_0}) \in W_\epsilon$. Let $w \in W_\epsilon$ be arbitrary, and $\gamma(t), 0 \leq t \leq 1$, be a continuous curve connecting $H(z_{j_0})$ and w and contained in W_ϵ . Assume by contradiction that $w \notin H(D)$. Since $H(D)$ is open, there exists $t', 0 < t' \leq 1$, such that $\gamma(t) \in H(D)$ for $0 \leq t < t'$, but $\gamma(t') \notin H(D)$. Now choose a sequence $t_k < t'$, with $t_k \rightarrow t'$, and $p_k \in D$ with $H(p_k) = \gamma(t_k)$ and $p_k \rightarrow p' \in \overline{D}$. Since $H(p') = \gamma(t') \notin H(D)$, it follows from the definition of D that $p' \in \partial D$. Recall that $H(\partial D) \subset H(\partial\mathcal{O})$. Hence $H(p') \in H(\partial\mathcal{O})$. In view of (2.16) and the fact that H maps M into M' , we must have $H(p') \in M'$. We reach a contradiction, since $H(p') = \gamma(t') \in W_\epsilon$. The proof of Proposition 2.10 is now complete. $\square$

Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $p_0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Recall that p_0 is in the holomorphic hull of Ω if there is a compact subset $K \subset \Omega$ such that $p_0 \in \hat{K}$, where

$$\hat{K} = \{z \in \Omega : |f(z)| \leq \sup_{w \in K} |f(w)| \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)\}.$$

Here $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ denotes the space of all holomorphic functions in Ω . We observe that when p_0 is a boundary point of Ω , then p_0 is in the holomorphic hull of Ω if and only if any function in $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ extends holomorphically to some larger domain which contains p_0 as an interior point.

Proposition 2.17. *Let Ω and Ω' be two bounded domains in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and H a proper holomorphic mapping from Ω to Ω' . Suppose that p_0 and p'_0 are boundary points of Ω and Ω' respectively, and that there is a sequence $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \Omega$ converging to p_0 such that $\lim_j H(z_j) = p'_0$. Suppose that any function in $\mathcal{H}(\Omega')$ is bounded on the sequence $\{H(z_j)\}_{j=1}^\infty$. Then p_0 is in the holomorphic hull of Ω .*

Remark 2.18. Note that the hypothesis of the proposition is satisfied if p'_0 is in the envelope of holomorphy of Ω' .

Proof. By using a standard result (see e.g. [N2] Chapter 7, Lemma 2), it suffices to prove the following claim:

Each function in $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ is bounded on $\{z_j\}$. More precisely, for any $f \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$, there exists a constant $C_f > 0$ such that $|f(z_j)| \leq C_f$ for all j .

To prove the claim, we note that H is finite-to-one on Ω since it is proper. Hence there exists m such that each $w \in \Omega'$ has m pre-images, $g_k(w), k = 1 \dots m$, counted with multiplicity (see e.g. [R]). Now let $f \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ and denote by $\sigma_1(w), \dots, \sigma_m(w)$ the elementary symmetric functions of $f(g_k(w)), k = 1, \dots, m$. By well known results (see e.g. [R]) the $\sigma_k(w)$ are holomorphic in Ω' and hence, by hypothesis, uniformly bounded on the sequence $\{H(z_j)\}$. If we let $w_j = H(z_j)$, we observe that $f(z_j)$ is one of the roots of the polynomial $X^m - \sigma_1(w_j)X^{m-1} + \dots + (-1)^m \sigma_m(w_j)$. Since the coefficients of this polynomial are bounded, independently of j , it follows that the $f(z_j)$ are bounded, independently of j . This proves the claim and hence Proposition 2.17. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4. First, we prove that under the assumptions of Theorem 4, M' is minimal at $p'_0 = h(p_0)$. Indeed, suppose not. Then there is a complex hypersurface Σ contained in M' through p'_0 . Hence, this hypersurface must contain all small analytic disks A' attached to M' with $A'(1) = p'_0$. On the other hand since M is minimal at p_0 , the boundaries of small analytic discs A attached to M with $A(1) = p_0$ cover a full neighborhood of p_0 in M [Tu]. Since we can take $A' = h \circ A$, this contradicts the assumption that $\text{Jac } h \neq 0$. (See also [E] for related results.)

By Lemma 2.1, we may assume that h admits a holomorphic extension H to one side of M , and that conditions (i)–(iv) preceding Lemma 2.2 are satisfied, so that we may apply Lemma 2.2. If δ satisfies the conclusion of Lemma 2.2, then H satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition 2.10 with $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}^\delta$. We then obtain from Proposition 2.10 a subdomain $\mathcal{O}_1$ of $\mathcal{O}$ such that the restriction of H to $\mathcal{O}_1$ is a proper mapping from $\mathcal{O}_1$ to $\mathcal{O}'_1$, continuous up to the boundary, with $p_0 \in \partial\mathcal{O}_1$ and $p'_0 = H(p_0) \in \partial\mathcal{O}'_1$. Moreover, there exists a sequence $\{z_j\} \subset \mathcal{O}_1$ such that $w_j = H(z_j) \rightarrow p'_0$, with $\{w_j\}$ strictly on one side of M' .

Since M' is minimal, but not minimally convex at p'_0 , by assumption, it follows from Theorem A that any CR function defined near $p'_0 \in M'$ extends holomorphically to a full neighborhood of p'_0 in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Now, by using the Baire Category Theorem (see e.g. [BR2, Theorems 7 and 8] for a more general result) we conclude that there is a connected neighborhood $\mathcal{U}'$ of p'_0 in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with $\mathcal{U}' \cap \mathcal{O}'_1 \neq \emptyset$ such that every function in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{O}'_1)$ extends holomorphically to $\mathcal{U}'$. In particular, we see that any such function is uniformly bounded on $\{w_j\}$. Using Proposition 2.17 we conclude that p_0 is in the holomorphic hull of $\mathcal{O}_1$, which lies on the side of M to which every CR function near p_0 extends. It follows immediately that every CR function near p_0 on M extends holomorphically to a full neighborhood of p_0 in $\mathbb{C}^n$. The Hopf lemma property then follows from Theorem C above. The proof of Theorem 4 (and hence that of Theorem 1) is now complete. $\square$

§3 Consequences of Theorem 1 and remarks

In this section we prove the other results stated in the introduction and make some remarks.

We first note that Corollary 1 follows easily from Theorem 1 and the following holomorphic extendibility result, which is a consequence of Theorem 1 of [BR1]:

Theorem E [BR1]. *Let $h : M \rightarrow M'$ be a smooth CR map, with M and M' real analytic hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Assume that M is essentially finite at p_0 and that h satisfies the Hopf Lemma property at p_0 . Then h extends holomorphically to a full neighborhood of p_0 in $\mathbb{C}^n$.*

Proof of Theorem 2. In order to apply Theorem 1, we note first that since H is proper, $\text{Jac } H \not\equiv 0$ in Ω . Hence its boundary value on $\partial\Omega$ does not vanish identically. Note also that for any $p_0 \in \partial\Omega$, $H^{-1}(H(p_0))$ is closed in $\partial\Omega$ and hence compact. We may now conclude by Theorem 1 that the Hopf lemma property holds at each point in $\partial\Omega$.

To prove that H is finite-to-one in $\overline{\Omega}$, we observe first that H is finite-to-one in Ω , since it is proper (see e.g. [R]). Since the Hopf lemma property holds at p_0 , we may apply the last part of Theorem D to conclude that for any $p_0 \in \partial\Omega$, H is finite-to-one in a neighborhood of p_0 in $\overline{\Omega}$. The desired result then follows by compactness of $\overline{\Omega}$. $\square$

Remark 2.1. It also follows from Theorem 4 in [BR3] that under the hypotheses of Theorem 2, $\partial\Omega'$ is also essentially finite at all points.

Proof of Corollary 2. By a result of Diederich and Fornaess [DF], any compact real analytic boundary in $\mathbb{C}^n$ does not contain a nontrivial complex variety and hence is essentially finite. We may then apply Theorem 2 to conclude that the Hopf lemma property is satisfied at every point of M . The conclusion of Corollary 2 then follows from Theorem E. $\square$

Remark 2.2. In fact, Corollary 2 may be proved much more directly by using Proposition 2.17 together with Theorems A, B, C, and E.

Proof of Theorem 3. It suffices to show that if $p_0 \in U_1$, then h satisfies the Hopf lemma property at p_0 . By taking the connected components of U and U_1 containing p_0 , we may assume, without loss of generality, that U_1 and U are connected. Let $E = \{p \in U : h(p) = h(p_0)\}$. Since M is essentially finite, h extends holomorphically to one side of M near any point. Therefore, since by assumption the Hopf lemma property holds in $U \setminus U_1$, it follows from Theorem D that $E \cap (U \setminus U_1)$ is a discrete set.

For $\delta > 0$, sufficiently small, let

$$U^\delta = \{p \in U : \text{dist}(p, \partial U) > \delta\},$$

and let ∂U^δ be its boundary. By the discreteness established above and the compactness of ∂U^δ , we conclude that for sufficiently small δ , that $\partial U^\delta \cap E$ is finite. Hence there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ for which the set $\partial U^{\delta_1} \cap E$ is empty. It is now easy to check that the hypotheses of Theorem 1 are satisfied for h and p_0 by taking $M = U^{\delta_1}$. This proves Theorem 3. $\square$

Proof of Corollary 3. Since h is real analytic at all points of $U \setminus U_1$ and M is real analytic, h extends holomorphically to a full neighborhood in $\mathbb{C}^n$ of each such point. By Theorem C, h then satisfies the Hopf lemma property in all of $U \setminus U_1$ and hence in all of U by Theorem 3. Applying Corollary 1, we then have that h extends holomorphically to a full neighborhood of U in $\mathbb{C}^n$. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [BC] S. Bell and D. Catlin, *Regularity of CR mappings*, Math. Z. (1988), 357-368.
- [BBR] M.S. Baouendi, S. Bell, and L. P. Rothschild, *Mappings of three dimensional CR manifolds and their holomorphic extension*, Duke Math. J. **56** (1988), 503-530.
- [BJT] M. S. Baouendi, H. Jacobowitz, and F. Treves, *On the real analyticity of CR mappings*, Ann. of Math. **122** (1985), 365-400.
- [BR1] M.S. Baouendi and L.P. Rothschild, *Germes of CR maps between real analytic hypersurfaces*, Invent. Math. **93** (1988), 481-500.
- [BR2] M.S. Baouendi and L.P. Rothschild, *Extension of holomorphic functions in generic wedges and their wave front sets*, Comm. P.D.E. **13** (1988), 1441-1466.
- [BR3] ———, *Geometric properties of smooth and holomorphic mappings between surfaces in complex spaces*, J. of Diff. Geom. **31** (1990), 473-499.
- [BR4] ———, *Remarks on the generic rank of a CR mappings*, J. of Geom. Analysis **2** (1992), 1-9.
- [BR5] ———, *A generalized complex Hopf lemma and its applications to CR mappings*, Invent. Math. **111** (1993), 331-348.
- [DA1] J. D'Angelo, *Real hypersurfaces, orders of contact, and applications*, Ann. of Math. **115** (1982), 615-637.
- [DA2] J. D'Angelo, *The notion of formal essential finiteness for smooth real hypersurfaces*, Indiana J. Math. **36** (1987), 897-903.
- [DF] K. Diederich, J. Fornaess, *Proper holomorphic mappings between real analytic pseudoconvex domains in $\mathbb{C}^n$* , Math. Ann **282** (1988), 681-700.
- [E] P. Ebenfelt, *On the unique continuation problem for CR mappings into non-minimal hypersurfaces*, to appear, J. of Geom. Analysis.
- [EL] D. Eisenbud and H. Levine, *An algebraic formula for the degree of a C^∞ map germ*, Ann. of Math. **106** (1977), 19-44.
- [F] J. Fornaess, *Biholomorphic mappings between weakly pseudoconvex domains*, Pacific J. Math **74** (1978), 63-65.
- [GG] M. Golubitsky and V. Guillemin, *Stable mappings and their singularities*, Springer-Verlag, 1974.
- [HP] X. Huang and Y. Pan, *Proper holomorphic mappings between real analytic domains in $\mathbb{C}^n$* , to appear, Duke Math. J.
- [N1] R. Narashimhan, *Introduction to the Theory of Analytic Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer **25** (1966).
- [N2] R. Narashimhan, *Several Complex Variables*, University of Chicago Press, 1971.
- [P] Y. Pan, *A characterization of the finite multiplicity of a CR mapping*, preprint.
- [R] W. Rudin, *Function theory on the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$* , Springer-Verlag, 1980.
- [T] J.-M. Trépreau, *Sur le prolongement holomorphe des fonctions CR définies sur une hypersurface réelle de classe C^2 dans $\mathbb{C}^n$* , Invent. Math. **83** (1986), 583-592.
- [Tu] A. E. Tumanov, *Extending CR functions on manifolds of finite type to a wedge*, Mat. Sbornik **136** (1988), 128-139.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS-0112, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LA JOLLA, CA 92093-0112

E-mail address: `sbaouendi@ucsd.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, CHICAGO, IL 60637

E-mail address: `xhuang@math.uchicago.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS-0112, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LA JOLLA, CA 92093-0112

E-mail address: `lrothschild@ucsd.edu`